

A GENERALIZED MOMENT PROBLEM FOR SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS

BY
D. LEVIATAN

ABSTRACT

Let the sequence $\{\lambda_i\}$ ($i \geq 0$) satisfy condition (1.1) and let $\{A_n\}$ ($n \geq 0$) be a sequence of bounded self-adjoint operators over a complex Hilbert space H . We give a necessary and sufficient condition in order that $\{A_n\}$ ($n \geq 0$) should possess the representation (1.2).

1. Introduction. Let the sequence $\{\lambda_i\}$ ($i \geq 0$) satisfy the following conditions

$$(1.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1. \quad 0 \leq \lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_n < \dots \\ 2. \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = \infty \\ 3. \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\lambda_i} = \infty \end{array} \right.$$

We shall deal with the following problem: Let H be a complex Hilbert space and let $\{A_n\}$ ($n \geq 0$) be a sequence of self-adjoint operators in $B(H)$. What are the conditions, necessary and sufficient, on the sequence $\{A_n\}$ ($n \geq 0$) in order that it should possess the representation

$$(1.2) \quad A_n = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n} d\chi(t) \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where $\chi(t)$ is a nondecreasing function from $[0, 1]$ to $B(H)$, that is $\chi(u) \geq \chi(v)$ for $1 \geq u > v \geq 0$.

The case $\lambda_n = n$ for $n \geq 0$ was treated in the papers of Sz. Nagy [5, 6] and Mac Nerney [2].

2. Definitions. Let $\mathfrak{A} = \|a_{i,j}\|$, $i \geq 0, j \geq 1$, be an infinite matrix of real numbers where $a_{i,1} = 1$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Denote

Received June 9, 1966.

$$(i_1, \dots, i_m) = \begin{vmatrix} a_{i_1,1}, \dots, a_{i_1,m} \\ \vdots \\ a_{i_m,1}, \dots, a_{i_m,m} \end{vmatrix} \quad 0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m$$

(if $m = 1(i_1) = a_{i_1,1} = 1$). We assume that $(i_1, \dots, i_m) > 0$ for every $0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_m$.

Given a sequence of operators $\{A_n\}$ ($n \geq 0$) define

$$(2.1) \quad D^k A_i \equiv \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j (i, \dots, i+j-1, i+j+1, \dots, i+k) A_{i+j}$$

(when $k = 0, D^0 A_i = A_i$) and

$$(2.2) \quad \lambda_{pm} \equiv \frac{(0, m+1, \dots, p)}{(m+1, \dots, p)(m, \dots, p)} D^{p-m} A_m \quad 0 \leq m \leq p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

(when $m = p, \lambda_{pp} = ((0)/(p)) A_p = A_p$).

For every fixed p , assuming the λ_{pm} are known, (2.2) are $p + 1$ linear equalities with $p + 1$ unknowns A_0, \dots, A_p . It is easily seen that the solution is

$$(2.3) \quad A_n = \sum_{m=0}^p \frac{(n, m+1, \dots, p)}{(0, m+1, \dots, p)} \lambda_{pm} \quad 0 \leq n \leq p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

(when $m = p$ the coefficient is $((n)/(0)) = 1$).

Denote

$$C_{nmp} = \frac{(n, m+1, \dots, p)}{(0, m+1, \dots, p)} \quad 0 \leq n, m \leq p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

(when $m = p, C_{npp} = ((n)/(0)) = 1$) and

$$t_{pm} = C_{1mp} \quad 0 \leq m \leq p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

(when $p = 0, C_{100} = ((1)/(0)) = 1$).

The following results were proved by Shoenberg [4]

a. $0 = t_{p0} < t_{p1} < \dots < t_{pp} = 1$,

b. Let the points $\{(t_{pm}, C_{n,m,p})\}$ ($0 \leq m \leq p, p \geq n$) be the vertices of a polygon $p_n^{(p)}$ and let $p_n^{(p)}(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1$, be the function describing that polygon. Then for each $n, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ the functions $p_n^{(p)}(t)$ tend, as $p \rightarrow \infty$, to a function $\phi_n(t)$ uniformly in $0 \leq t \leq 1$,

c. Define as in (2.1) and (2.2)

$$(2.4) \quad D^k \phi_i(t) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j (i, \dots, i+j-1, i+j+1, \dots, i+k) \phi_{i+j}(t)$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \lambda_{pm}(t) \cdots \frac{(0, m + 1, \dots, p)}{(m + 1, \dots, p)(m, \dots, p)} D^{p-m} \phi_m(t),$$

then $\lambda_{pm}(t) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $0 \leq m \leq p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

3. Main results. For a given sequence $\{A_n\}$ ($n \geq 0$) define an operator L on the space of all linear combinations of $\{\phi_n(t)\}$ ($n \geq 0$) as follows: Let $P(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \phi_i(t)$, then $L\{P(t)\} = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i A_i$.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that the linear combinations of $\{\phi_n(t)\}$ ($n \geq 0$) are dense in $C[0, 1]$ in the sense of uniform convergence. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:*

1. Let $\{A_n\}$ ($n \geq 0$) be a sequence of self-adjoint operators and λ_{pm} defined by (2.2), then $\lambda_{pm} \geq 0$ for $0 \leq m \leq p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$
2. For every $P(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \phi_i(t)$ such that $P(t) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, we have $L\{P(t)\} \geq 0$.
3. There exists a nondecreasing function $\chi(t)$ from $[0, 1]$ to $B(H)$ such that $A_n = \int_0^1 \phi_n(t) d\chi(t)$ $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. 1 \rightarrow 2: Let $P(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \phi_i(t) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, then for any $x \in H$

$$(L\{P(t)\}x, x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (A_i x, x)$$

by (2.3) for every $p \geq n$

$$= \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \sum_{m=0}^p C_{imp}(\lambda_{pm} x, x)$$

by the definition of $p_i^{(p)}(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \sum_{m=0}^p p_i^{(p)}(t_{pm})(\lambda_{pm} x, x) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \sum_{m=0}^p \phi_i(t_{pm})(\lambda_{pm} x, x) + \sum_{i=0}^n a_i \sum_{m=0}^p [P^{(p)}(t_{pm}) - \phi_i(t_{pm})](\lambda_{pm} x, x) \\ &\equiv I_1 + I_2 \end{aligned}$$

Now $I_1 = \sum_{m=0}^p [\sum_{i=0}^n a_i \phi_i(t_{pm})](\lambda_{pm} x, x) = \sum_{m=0}^p P(t_{pm})(\lambda_{pm} x, x)$, hence $I_1 \geq 0$. Since $P_i^{(p)}(t) \rightarrow \phi_i(t)$ uniformly in $0 \leq t \leq 1$ and $(\lambda_{pm} x, x) \geq 0$ for $0 \leq m \leq p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ we have for $p \geq p_0$:

$$|I_2| \leq \varepsilon \left[\sum_{i=0}^n |a_i| \right] \left(\sum_{m=0}^p \lambda_{pm} x, x \right)$$

by (2.3)

$$= \varepsilon K(A_0 x, x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence $L\{P(t)\} \geq 0$.

2 → 3: It is proved easily in a way similar to the proof of the spectral decomposition of bounded operators. For instance see [2] Lemma 9.

3 → 1: We have $\lambda_{pm} = \int_0^1 \lambda_{pm}(t) d\chi(t) \geq 0$ since $\chi(t)$ is nondecreasing and $\lambda_{pm}(t) \geq 0$. Q.E.D.

CONSEQUENCE 1: Suppose that the linear combinations of $\{\phi_n(t)\}$ ($n \geq 0$) are dense in $C[0, 1]$ in the sense of uniform convergence. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:

1. Let $\{A_n\}$ ($n \geq 0$) be a sequence of self-adjoint operators such that $A_0 = I$ and λ_{pm} defined by (2.2), then $\lambda_{pm} \geq 0$ for $0 \leq m \leq p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

2. There exists a self-adjoint operator A in an extension space H such that $A_n = pr \phi_n(A)$ $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. By Theorem 1 condition 1 is equivalent to the existence of a generalized spectral family $\{\chi(t)\}$, (we may take $\chi(t) = 0$ for $t < 0$ and $\chi(t) = I$ for $t \geq 1$), such that $A_n = \int_0^1 \phi_n(t) d\chi(t)$ $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Hence by Sz. Nagy [5] this is equivalent to the existence of $A = \int_0^1 t dE(t)$ such that $\chi(t) = pr E(t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq 1$. Q.E.D.

Let the matrix \mathfrak{A} be an infinite Vandermonde defined by $\{\lambda_i\}$ ($i \geq 0$) which satisfies (1.1), that is $\mathfrak{A} = \|a_{ij}\|$ where $a_{ij} = \lambda_i^{j-1}$, $i \geq 0, j \geq 1$. Given the sequence $\{A_n\}$ ($n \geq 0$) we have

$$(3.1) \quad \lambda_{pm} = (-1)^{p-m} \lambda_{m+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_p$$

$$\sum_{i=m}^p \frac{1}{(\lambda_i - \lambda_m) \cdot \dots \cdot (\lambda_i - \lambda_{i-1})(\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}) \cdot \dots \cdot (\lambda_i - \lambda_p)} A_i$$

$$\equiv (-1)^{p-m} \lambda_{m+1} \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_p [A_m, \dots, A_p]$$

CONSEQUENCE 2: Let $\{\lambda_i\}$ ($i \geq 0$) satisfy (1.1) with $\lambda_0 = 0$, then $(-1)^{p-m} [A_m, \dots, A_p] \geq 0$ for $0 \leq m \leq p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ if, and only if there exists a nondecreasing function $\chi(t)$ from $[0, 1]$ to $B(H)$ such that $A_n = \int_0^1 t \lambda_n d\chi(t)$ $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. If we have also $A_0 = I$, then there exists a self adjoint operator A in an extension space H such that $A_n = pr A^{\lambda_n}$ $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. For $\{\lambda_i\}$ ($i \geq 0$) satisfying (1.1) with $\lambda_0 = 0$ we have $\phi_n(t) = t^{\lambda_n/\lambda_1}$ $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and the linear combinations of $\{\phi_n(t)\}$ ($n \geq 0$) are dense in $C[0, 1]$ in the sense of uniform convergence (see [4]). Hence by Theorem 1 $(-1)^{p-m} [A_m, \dots, A_p] \geq 0$ for $0 \leq m \leq p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ if, and only if there exists a nondecreasing function $\psi(t)$ from $[0, 1]$ to $B(H)$ such that

$$A_n = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n/\lambda_1} d\psi(t) \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Define $s = t^{1/\lambda_1}$ and $\chi(s) = \psi(t)$, then $A_n = \int_0^1 s^{\lambda_n} d\chi(s) \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

The second part is proved as in consequence 1.

Q.E.D.

THEOREM 2. Let $\{A_i\}$ ($i \geq 0$) satisfy (1.1) with $\lambda_0 > 0$. Then there exists a nondecreasing function $\chi(t)$ from $[0, 1]$ to $B(H)$ such that $\chi(1) - \chi(0) = I$ and

$$(3.2) \quad A_n = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n} d\chi(t) \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

if, and only if:

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &1. \text{ For } 0 \leq m \leq p = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (-1)^{p-m} [A_m, \dots, A_p] \geq 0. \\ &2. \text{ For } p \geq 0 \quad (-1)^p \lambda_0 \cdot \dots \cdot \lambda_p \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_0} A_0, \dots, \frac{1}{\lambda_p} A_p \right] \leq I. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Define sequences $\{\tilde{A}_n\}$, $\{\tilde{\lambda}_n\}$ ($n \geq 0$) by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_0 &= I & \tilde{A}_n &= A_{n-1} & n &\geq 1. \\ \tilde{\lambda}_0 &= 0 & \tilde{\lambda}_n &= \lambda_{n-1} & n &\geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.1) we have by an easy calculation (see [1])

$$[\tilde{A}_m, \dots, \tilde{A}_p] = [A_{m-1}, \dots, A_{p-1}] \text{ for } 1 \leq m \leq p = 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$[\tilde{A}_0, \dots, \tilde{A}_p] = \frac{(-1)^p}{\lambda_0 \dots \lambda_{p-1}} I + \left[\frac{1}{\lambda_0} A_0, \dots, \frac{1}{\lambda_{p-1}} A_{p-1} \right].$$

From (3.3) $(-1)^{p-m} [\tilde{A}_m, \dots, \tilde{A}_p] \geq 0$, hence by Consequence 2

$$\tilde{A}_n = \int_0^1 t^{\tilde{\lambda}_n} d\chi(t) \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \text{ that is}$$

$$A_n = \int_0^1 t^{\lambda_n} d\chi(t) \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

On the other hand, by (3.2) $\tilde{A}_n = \int_0^1 t^{\tilde{\lambda}_n} d\chi(t) \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, hence by Consequence 2, $(-1)^{p-m} [\tilde{A}_m, \dots, \tilde{A}_p] \geq 0$ for $0 \leq m \leq p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, that is (3.3) holds. Q.E.D.

CONSEQUENCE 3: Condition (3.3) holds if, and only if there exists a self-adjoint operator A in an extension space H such that $A_n = \text{pr } A^{\lambda_n} \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. K. Endl, *On systems of linear inequalities in infinitely many variables and generalized Hausdorff means*, Math. Z. **82** (1963), 1-7.
2. J. S. Mac-Nerney, *Hermitian moment sequences*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1962), 45-81.

3. F. Riesz and B. Sz-Nagy, *Functional analysis*, (translation of second French edition) Ungar, New York, 1955.
4. I. J. Shoenberg, *On finite rowed systems of linear inequalities in infinitely many variables*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **34** (1932), 594–619.
5. B. Sz-Nagy, *Extension of linear transformations in Hilbert space which extend beyond this space*, Ungar, New York, 1960 (appendix to [3]).
6. B. Sz-Nagy, *A moment problem for self-adjoint operators*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. **3** (1952), 285–293.

TEL-AVIV UNIVERSITY,
TEL-AVIV